

Nontrivial solutions for elliptic resonant problems [☆]

Shibo Liu ^{a,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Xiamen University,
Xiamen 361005, China*

Abstract

Nontrivial solutions for elliptic resonant problems are obtained via Morse theory. To compute the critical groups at infinity of the relevant functional, we propose a new approach by combining the homotopy, reduction methods and the Alexander Duality Theorem.

Key words: Elliptic resonant problems, Critical groups, Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, Alexander Duality Theorem

MSC: 35J65, 58E05

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following semilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = p(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $p : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function which satisfies

$$|p(x, t_1) - p(x, t_2)| \leq \Lambda |t_1 - t_2| \quad (1.2)$$

for some $\Lambda > 0$. Assume that $p(x, 0) = 0$, then (1.1) admits a trivial solution $u = 0$. Therefore we are concerned on the existence of nontrivial solutions.

Let $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1} \leq \dots$ denote the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$, we consider the following conditions of p :

(p_0) there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for $|t| \leq \rho$

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_m t^2 \leq P(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{m+1} t^2, \quad \text{where } P(x, t) = \int_0^t p(x, s) ds,$$

(p_-) there exist $\varepsilon, R > 0$ such that for $|t| \geq R$

$$\lambda_{\ell-1} + \varepsilon \leq \frac{p(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{\ell}, \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|t|} \left(P(x, t) - \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{2} t^2 \right) = -\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

[☆] Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10601041).

^{*} Current address: Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China.

Email address: liusb@stu.edu.cn (Shibo Liu).

(p_+) there exist $\varepsilon, R > 0$ such that for $|t| \geq R$

$$\lambda_\ell \leq \frac{p(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{\ell+1} - \varepsilon, \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|t|} \left(P(x, t) - \frac{\lambda_\ell}{2} t^2 \right) = +\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

Note that the inequalities in (p_\pm) characterize (1.1) as resonant from one side at the eigenvalue λ_ℓ . In particular, when the limit

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(x, t)}{t} = \lambda_\ell \quad (1.5)$$

exists, we say that the problem (1.1) is resonant at infinity. Such resonant problems have been extensively studied by many authors with various conditions imposed on the nonlinearity, see [2, 4, 9, 11, 16, 18]. Typically, many results in the literature assume that the nonlinearity $p(x, t) - \lambda_\ell t$ is bounded or grows slower than $|t|^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For example, the authors of [9] considered the case that p satisfies

$$|p(x, t) - \lambda_\ell t| \leq c(1 + |t|^\alpha), \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|t|^{2\alpha}} \left(P(x, t) - \frac{\lambda_\ell}{2} t^2 \right) = \pm\infty \quad (1.6)$$

for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, and obtained one nontrivial solution of (1.1). Note that if $\alpha = 0$, then (1.6) is the standard Landesman–Lazer condition and has been considered in [4, 8].

In this paper, we study the case that $p(x, t) - \lambda_\ell t$ may not be bounded, not even grows slower than $|t|^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (1.2). Then the problem (1.1) has at least a nontrivial solution in one of the following cases:*

- (i) p satisfies (p_0) and (p_-) with $m \neq \ell - 1$.
- (ii) p satisfies (p_0) and (p_+) with $m \neq \ell$.

Let $X = H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx$$

and corresponding norm $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 by showing that, the functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} P(x, u) \, dx$$

possess nonzero critical points. To do that, we shall apply the Morse theory (see the monographs [4, 15] for a systematic exploration). More precisely, we will obtain nonzero critical points of f by comparing the critical groups $C_*(f, \mathbf{0})$ of f at zero and $C_*(f, \infty)$ at infinity (see Proposition 2.1 below). Therefore, the computation of critical groups is essential. The following theorem is devoted to this issue. Since its proof (in particular, Case (ii)) illustrates a new approach to compute $C_*(f, \infty)$, we recognize it as another main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (1.2).*

- (i) *If p satisfies (p_-), then the functional f satisfies the Palais-Smale (PS) condition, and $C_k(f, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, \ell-1} \mathcal{G}$.*
- (ii) *If p satisfies (p_+), then the functional f satisfies the Palais-Smale (PS) condition, and $C_k(f, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, \ell} \mathcal{G}$.*

Remark 1.3. This Theorem improves Li-Perera-Su [10, Proposition 1.3]: in Case (i), instead of (1.3) they assumed the stronger condition: for $|t| \geq R$,

$$\lambda_{\ell-1} + \varepsilon \leq \frac{p(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{\ell}, \quad P(x, t) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\ell} - \varepsilon}{2} t^2,$$

which can not be satisfied if (1.5) holds; in Case (ii), instead of (1.4) they assumed the stronger condition: for $|t| \geq R$

$$\lambda_{\ell} \leq \frac{p(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{\ell+1} - \varepsilon, \quad P(x, t) \geq \frac{\lambda_{\ell} + \varepsilon}{2} t^2,$$

which can not be satisfied if (1.5) holds, moreover, they only obtained $C_{\ell}(f, \infty) \neq 0$.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 in the next section. Our proof is based on the Homotopy Invariant Theorem for $C_*(f, \infty)$ established in [10]. However, this method can not be used directly in Case (ii) of Theorem 1.2. Therefore, we use the reduction method of [14] and then, apply the Alexander Duality Theorem. Obviously, our method can also be used in other situation.

In Section 3, we apply Theorem 1.2 and Morse theory to the functional f and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Other multiplicity results for boundary value problems are also given in the section.

2. Computation of $C_*(f, \infty)$

Let f be a C^1 -functional defined on a Hilbert space X , then the critical groups of f at an isolated critical point u with $f(u) = c$ are defined by

$$C_k(f, u) := H_k(f^c, f^c \setminus \{u\}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\},$$

where H_* is the singular relative homology with coefficients in an Abelian group \mathcal{G} and $f^c = f^{-1}(-\infty, c]$. If f satisfies the (PS) condition and the critical values of f are bonded from below by some $\alpha > -\infty$, then the critical groups of f at infinity were introduced by Bartsch-Li [2] as

$$C_k(f, \infty) := H_k(X, f^{\alpha}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that by the deformation lemma, the right-hand side of (2.1) does not depend on the choice of α .

Using these concepts, we have the following famous Morse inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k t^k + (1+t) Q(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where Q is a formal series with coefficients in \mathbb{N} ,

$$M_k = \sum_{f'(u)=0} \text{rank } C_k(f, u), \quad \beta_k = \text{rank } C_k(f, \infty).$$

The critical groups and Morse inequality are very useful in studying the existence and multiplicity of critical points: we can distinguish critical points using critical groups and discover unknown critical points using the Morse inequality.

The reader is referred to [4, 15] for more details on Morse theory. Here we state a corollary of the Morse inequality (2.2), which is sufficient for proving Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the (PS) condition and f has only finitely many critical points, then the following statements hold.*

- (i) If for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $C_k(f, \infty) \neq 0$, then f has a critical point u with $C_k(f, u) \neq 0$.
(ii) Let $\mathbf{0}$ be an isolated critical point of f . If for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $C_k(f, \mathbf{0}) \neq C_k(f, \infty)$, then f has a nonzero critical point.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. For this purpose, we recall the following Homotopy Invariant Theorem for critical groups at infinity.

Proposition 2.2 ([10, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $\{f_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ be a family of C^1 -functionals defined on a Hilbert space X , which satisfies the (PS) condition, such that f'_t and $\partial_t f_t$ are locally Lipschitz continuous. If there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$f_t(u) \leq a \implies \|f'_t(u)\| \geq \delta \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.3)$$

then f_0^a is homeomorphic to f_1^a . In particular, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $C_k(f_0, \infty) \cong C_k(f_1, \infty)$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2. In what follows the letters C and C_1, C_2, \dots will be used to denote positive constants which are different from line to line.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i)

Let $A_\ell : X \rightarrow X$ be such that

$$\langle A_\ell(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - \lambda_\ell uv) dx, \quad u, v \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Then A_ℓ is a self-adjoint bounded linear operator on X . Let $X^0 = \ker(A_\ell)$, X^- and X^+ be the negative and positive spaces of A_ℓ respectively, then $X = X^+ \oplus X^0 \oplus X^-$.

For $u \in X$ we always write $u = u^+ + u^0 + u^-$ and $\widehat{u} = u^+ + u^0 - u^-$. It is well known that for $u^\pm \in X^\pm$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u^+|^2 - \lambda_\ell (u^+)^2) dx &\geq \mu \|u^+\|^2, \\ - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u^-|^2 - \lambda_\ell (u^-)^2) dx &\geq (\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1}) \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

where μ is a positive number. Let

$$f_t(u) = (1-t)f(u) + t(\|u^+\|^2 + \|u^0\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We claim that for any $\{(t_n, u_n)\} \subset [0, 1] \times X$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f'_{t_n}(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \|u_n\| &\rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\} \implies f_{t_n}(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.6)$$

To see this, set

$$q(x, t) = p(x, t) - \lambda_\ell t, \quad Q(x, t) = \int_0^t q(x, s) ds.$$

Then for $|u| \geq R$ we have

$$\lambda_{\ell-1} + \varepsilon - \lambda_\ell \leq \frac{q(x, u)}{u} \leq 0.$$

It follows that for $|u| \geq R$

$$q(x, u)\widehat{u} = \frac{q(x, u)}{u} u\widehat{u} = \frac{q(x, u)}{u} ((u^+ + u^0)^2 - (u^-)^2)$$

$$\leq (\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1} - \varepsilon)(u^-)^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} q(x, u) \widehat{u} dx &= - \int_{|u| \geq R} q(x, u) \widehat{u} dx - \int_{|u| \leq R} q(x, u) \widehat{u} dx \\ &\geq -(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1} - \varepsilon) \int_{|u| \geq R} (u^-)^2 dx - C \|\widehat{u}\| \\ &\geq -(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1} - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^2 dx - C \|\widehat{u}\| \\ &= -(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1} - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^2 dx - C \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus according to (2.5), since $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\| &\geq \langle f'_{t_n}(u_n), \widehat{u}_n \rangle \\ &= (1 - t_n) \left[\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_n \nabla \widehat{u}_n - \lambda_\ell u_n \widehat{u}_n) dx - \int_{\Omega} q(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n dx \right] + t_n \|u_n\|^2 \\ &\geq (1 - t_n) \left[\mu \|u^+\|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (u_n^-)^2 dx - C \|u_n\| \right] + t_n \|u_n\|^2 \\ &\geq (1 - t_n) C_1 \left(\|u_n^+\|^2 + \|u_n^-\|^2 \right) - C_2 \|u_n\| + t_n \|u_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

It follows that $t_n \rightarrow 0$, $\|u_n^+\|^2 + \|u_n^-\|^2 \leq C \|u_n^0\|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 - \lambda_\ell u_n^2) dx &\geq \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n^-|^2 - \lambda_\ell (u_n^-)^2) dx \\ &\geq \frac{-C_1 \|u_n^-\|^2}{\|u_n\|} \geq -C. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Let $z_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$, then $\|z_n\| = 1$. Hence up to a subsequence

$$z_n \rightharpoonup z \text{ in } X, \quad z_n(x) \rightarrow z(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

We write

$$z_n = \frac{u_n^+}{\|u_n\|} + \frac{u_n^-}{\|u_n\|} + \frac{u_n^0}{\|u_n\|}.$$

Obviously, (2.7) also implies

$$\left\| \frac{u_n^+}{\|u_n\|} \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \left\| \frac{u_n^-}{\|u_n\|} \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \left\| \frac{u_n^0}{\|u_n\|} \right\| \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $\dim X^0 < \infty$, we see that $\left\{ \frac{u_n^0}{\|u_n\|} \right\}$ has a subsequence which converges to a point in X^0 .

Hence up to a subsequence $z_n \rightarrow z$ in X with $\|z\| = 1$. Thus $z \neq \mathbf{0}$.

For $x \in \Theta := \{x \in \Omega : z(x) \neq 0\}$, we have $|u_n(x)| \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus we have

$$\frac{Q(x, u_n(x)) |u_n(x)|}{|u_n(x)| \|u_n\|} = \frac{Q(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|} (|z(x)| + o(1)) \rightarrow -\infty.$$

By the Fatou's Lemma, since the Lebesgue measure of Θ is positive, we have

$$\frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{z(x) \neq 0} Q(x, u_n(x)) dx = \int_{z(x) \neq 0} \frac{Q(x, u_n(x)) |u_n(x)|}{|u_n(x)| \|u_n\|} dx \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, $Q(x, t)$ is bounded from above, hence for n large enough,

$$\frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{z(x)=0} Q(x, u_n(x)) dx \leq C. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_n) &= \|u_n\| \left(\frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 - \lambda_{\ell} u^2) dx - \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\Omega} Q(x, u_n(x)) dx \right) \\ &= \|u_n\| \left(\frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 - \lambda_{\ell} u^2) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\|u_n\|} \left(\int_{z(x) \neq 0} + \int_{z(x)=0} \right) Q(x, u_n(x)) dx \right) \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, (2.9) implies that for n large enough,

$$\|u_n^+\|^2 + \|u_n^0\|^2 - \|u_n^-\|^2 > 0.$$

Note that $t_n \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t_n}(u_n) &= (1 - t_n) f(u_n) + t_n (\|u_n^+\|^2 + \|u_n^0\|^2 - \|u_n^-\|^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} f(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So we have proved our claim (2.6). This implies that the family of C^1 -functionals $\{f_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ satisfies the (PS) condition and (2.3). Thus $f = f_0$ also satisfies the (PS) condition and, by Proposition 2.2,

$$C_k(f, \infty) = C_k(f_0, \infty) \cong C_k(f_1, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, \dim X - \mathcal{G}} = \delta_{k, \ell-1} \mathcal{G}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 (i).

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii)

Decompose the space X as before, $X = X^+ \oplus X^0 \oplus X^-$. Let

$$h(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda_{\ell} u^2) dx - \|u^0\|^2,$$

and define a family of C^{2-0} -functionals $\{f_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ on X :

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(u) &= (1 - t) f(u) + t h(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda_{\ell} u^2) dx - (1 - t) \int_{\Omega} Q(x, u) dx - t \|u^0\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Then arguing as in §2.1 (now for $u = u^+ + u^0 + u^-$, we set $\hat{u} = u^+ - u^0 - u^-$), we can prove a claim ‘dual’ to (2.6):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f'_{t_n}(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \|u_n\| &\rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\} \implies f_{t_n}(u_n) \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Remark 2.3. The claim (2.14) implies that f satisfies the (PS) condition, and the critical values of f are bounded from above.

Although we have the claim (2.14), we can not say anything about the relation between $C_*(f_0, \infty)$ and $C_*(f_1, \infty)$. This is why Li-Perera-Su could not compute $C_*(f, \infty)$ explicitly in [10, Proposition 1.3].

Thanks to [14, Theorem 1.1], the condition (1.2) allows us to reduce the problem into a finite dimensional subspace. Then we can use the Alexander Duality Theorem to overcome this difficulty.

To carry out this program, let us consider a C^1 -functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies the (PS) condition. If the critical values of φ are bounded from below by some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then we can define a new sequence of critical groups using singular cohomology:

$$\mathfrak{C}^k(\varphi, \infty) = H^k(X, \varphi^\alpha), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If the critical values of φ are bounded, then both $C_*(\varphi, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{C}^*(-\varphi, \infty)$ make sense. In the case $X = \mathbb{R}^m$, they have the following dual relation:

Lemma 2.4. *If $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the (PS) condition, with critical values bounded, then $C_k(\varphi, \infty) \cong \mathfrak{C}^{m-k}(-\varphi, \infty)$.*

Proof. Suppose that the critical values of φ are contained in the interval $(-\alpha, \alpha)$, where α is a positive number. Since φ and $-\varphi$ have no critical value greater than α , both φ^α and $(-\varphi)^\alpha$ are strong deformation retractor of \mathbb{R}^m , hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\varphi, \infty) &= H_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \varphi^{-\alpha}) \cong H_k(\{\varphi < \alpha\}, \{\varphi < -\alpha\}), \\ \mathfrak{C}^k(-\varphi, \infty) &= H^k(\mathbb{R}^m, (-\varphi)^{-\alpha}) \cong H^k((-\varphi)^\alpha, (-\varphi)^{-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow S^m$ be the stereographic projection from the north pole $p \in S^m$, applying the Alexander Duality Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\varphi, \infty) &\cong H_k(\{\varphi < \alpha\}, \{\varphi < -\alpha\}) \\ &\cong H_k(\mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < \alpha\}), \mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < -\alpha\})) \\ &\cong \check{H}^{m-k}(S^m \setminus \mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < -\alpha\}), S^m \setminus \mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < \alpha\})), \end{aligned}$$

where \check{H}^* stands for the Čech cohomology. Because respect to the Čech cohomology, any closed subset is excisable from a locally compact pair in an ENR (euclidean neighborhood retract), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\varphi, \infty) &\cong \check{H}^{m-k}(S^m \setminus \mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < -\alpha\}) \setminus \{p\}, S^m \setminus \mathfrak{p}(\{\varphi < \alpha\}) \setminus \{p\}) \\ &= \check{H}^{m-k}(\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\varphi < -\alpha\}), \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\varphi < \alpha\})) \\ &\cong \check{H}^{m-k}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\varphi < -\alpha\}, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{\varphi < \alpha\}) \\ &= \check{H}^{m-k}((-\varphi)^\alpha, (-\varphi)^{-\alpha}) \\ &\cong H^{m-k}((-\varphi)^\alpha, (-\varphi)^{-\alpha}) \cong \mathfrak{C}^{m-k}(-\varphi, \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In step (2.15) we use the fact that $((-\varphi)^\alpha, (-\varphi)^{-\alpha})$ is a pair of ENR's, hence according to [7, Proposition 8.6.12], the Čech cohomology coincides with the singular cohomology. \square

Remark 2.5. The above lemma is motivated by [5], where similar result was obtained for the critical groups $C_*(f, u)$ at an isolated critical point.

To reduce the problem to a finite dimensional space, we recall the following proposition, which is based on the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction [1, 3].

Proposition 2.6 ([14, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, Y, Z be closed subspace of X such that $X = Z \oplus Y$. Assume that $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the (PS) condition, the critical values of f are bounded from below. If there is a real number $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $z \in Z$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, there holds*

$$\langle \nabla f(z + y_1) - \nabla f(z + y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq \kappa \|y_1 - y_2\|^2,$$

then there exists a C^1 -functional $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (PS) and with critical values bounded from below, such that $C_k(f, \infty) \cong C_k(\varphi, \infty)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In fact, $\varphi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\varphi(z) = f(z + \psi(z)) = \min_{y \in Y} f(z + y),$$

where $\psi \in C(Z, Y)$. For the given $z \in Z$, $\psi(z)$ is the unique element in Y satisfying the above equation. Let $P_Z : X \rightarrow Z$ and $P_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ denote the orthogonal projection, we also know that for all $z \in Z$, there holds

$$P_Z \nabla f(z + \psi(z)) = \nabla \varphi(z), \quad P_Y \nabla f(z + \psi(z)) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

See [1, 3] for the detail.

Remark 2.7. According to [1, Corollary 2.4 (ii)], if $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2-0}(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $\psi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1-0}(Z, Y)$. Hence $\varphi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2-0}(Z, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii). By (1.2), for $x \in \Omega$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\frac{q(x, t_1) - q(x, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} \leq \Lambda - \lambda_\ell, \quad (2.17)$$

where $q(x, t) = p(x, t) - \lambda_\ell t$. Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = +\infty$, we can choose some $r > \ell$, such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} (\lambda_\ell + (1-t)(\Lambda - \lambda_\ell)) = \max(\Lambda, \lambda_\ell) \leq \lambda_r < \lambda_{r+1}. \quad (2.18)$$

Decompose the space X as $X = Z \oplus Y$, where Y is the positive space of A_r and Z is the orthogonal complement of Y . Note that here A_r is defined similar to (2.4). Consider the family of functionals $\{f_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ introduced in (2.13). Since

$$f_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda_\ell u^2) dx - (1-t) \int_{\Omega} Q(x, u) dx - t \|u^0\|^2$$

and $u^0 \in X^0 \subset Z$, that is, $u^0 \perp Y$, using (2.17), we see that for $z \in Z$, $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla f_t(z + y_1) - \nabla f_t(z + y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(y_1 - y_2)|^2 dx - \lambda_\ell \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2)^2 dx \\ & \quad - (1-t) \int_{\Omega} (q(x, z + y_1) - q(x, z + y_2))(y_1 - y_2) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(y_1 - y_2)|^2 dx - \lambda_\ell \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2)^2 dx \\ & \quad - (1-t)(\Lambda - \lambda_\ell) \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(y_1 - y_2)|^2 dx - (\lambda_\ell + (1-t)(\Lambda - \lambda_\ell)) \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2)^2 dx \geq \kappa \|y_1 - y_2\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\kappa = 1 - \frac{\max(\Lambda, \lambda_\ell)}{\lambda_{r+1}} > 0.$$

Applying Proposition 2.6, we obtain a family of C_{loc}^{2-0} -functionals $\{\varphi_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ defined on Z . The critical values of each φ_t are bounded from below, and

$$C_k(f_t, \infty) \cong C_k(\varphi_t, \infty), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.20)$$

To see that $\partial_t \varphi_t \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1-0}(Z, \mathbb{R})$, we write $F(t, u) = f_t(u)$. Then $F : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^{2-0} , and

$$\nabla F(t, u) = P_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla F(t, u) + P_X \nabla F(t, u) = P_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla F(t, u) + \nabla f_t(u). \quad (2.21)$$

According to the decomposition $\mathbb{R} \times X = (\mathbb{R} \oplus Z) \oplus Y$, since $P_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla F(t, u) \perp Y$, by (2.19) and (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla F(t + z + y_1) - \nabla F(t + z + y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla f_t(z + y_1) - \nabla f_t(z + y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq \kappa \|y_1 - y_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $\Phi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2-0}(\mathbb{R} \times Z, \mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\Phi(t, z) = \max_{y \in Y} F(t, z, y) = \max_{y \in Y} f_t(z + y) = \varphi_t(z).$$

So it is clear that $\partial_t \varphi_t = \partial_t \Phi(t, \cdot) \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1-0}(Z, \mathbb{R})$.

We claim that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \nabla \varphi_{t_n}(z_n) \rightarrow 0 \\ \|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\} \implies (-\varphi_{t_n})(z_n) \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.22)$$

In fact, since $\nabla \varphi_{t_n}(z_n) \rightarrow 0$, using (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f_{t_n}(z_n + \psi(z_n)) &= P_Z \nabla f_{t_n}(z_n + \psi(z_n)) + P_Y \nabla f_{t_n}(z_n + \psi(z_n)) \\ &= \nabla \varphi_{t_n}(z_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|z_n + \psi(z_n)\| \geq \|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by our claim (2.14) we have

$$(-\varphi_{t_n})(z_n) = (-f_{t_n})(z_n + \psi(z_n)) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This proves our claim (2.22). So the critical values of each φ_t are bounded.

By Proposition 2.2, $(-\varphi_0)^a$ is homeomorphic to $(-\varphi_1)^a$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{C}^k(-\varphi_0, \infty) \cong \mathfrak{C}^k(-\varphi_1, \infty), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $m = \dim Z < \infty$, applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(\varphi_0, \infty) &\cong \mathfrak{C}^{m-k}(-\varphi_0, \infty) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{C}^{m-k}(-\varphi_1, \infty) \cong C_k(\varphi_1, \infty), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.20) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(f, \infty) &= C_k(f_0, \infty) \\ &\cong C_k(\varphi_0, \infty) \cong C_k(\varphi_1, \infty) \\ &\cong C_k(f_1, \infty) = C_k(h, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, \ell} \mathcal{G}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii). \square

3. Solutions for elliptic resonant problems

Having computed the critical groups of f at infinity, we apply the Morse theory to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the theorem for Case (ii). For this purpose it suffices to find a nonzero critical point for the functional $f : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} P(x, u) \, dx.$$

Under our assumptions it is well known that $f \in C^{2-0}(H_0^1(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$.

Let Y be the positive space of A_m and Z the orthogonal complement of Y . According to [13, Lemma 4.2], the condition (p_0) implies that f has a local linking at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the decomposition $X = Z \oplus Y$. That is, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for $\|u\| \leq \delta$,

$$f(u) \leq 0, \quad \text{if } u \in Z; \quad f(u) > 0, \quad \text{if } u \in Y \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

By [12, Theorem 2.1], since $\dim Z = m$ we deduce

$$C_m(f, \mathbf{0}) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2 (ii) we know that f satisfies the (PS) condition and

$$C_k(f, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, \ell} \mathcal{G}.$$

But $m \neq \ell$, we have

$$C_m(f, \mathbf{0}) \neq C_m(f, \infty).$$

Using Proposition 2.1 (ii), we see that f has a nonzero critical point u . \square

The existence of multiple solutions requires more conditions on the nonlinearity. In what follows we will assume more regularity conditions on p , so that the functional f is of class C^2 .

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $p \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that $|p'_t(x, t)| \leq \Lambda$. If $m > 1$ and there exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$\lambda_m \leq \frac{p(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda_{m+1} \quad \text{for } 0 < |t| \leq \rho, \quad (3.1)$$

then the problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions in one of the following cases:

- (i) p satisfies (p_-) with $\ell = 2$.
- (ii) p satisfies (p_+) with $\ell = 1$.

Proof. We only prove the theorem for Case (i). Under the assumptions we see that f is of class C^2 . According to [10, Proposition 1.1], the condition (3.1) implies that

$$C_k(f, \mathbf{0}) \cong \delta_{k, m} \mathcal{G}. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2 (i), f satisfies (PS) and

$$C_k(f, \infty) \cong \delta_{k, 1} \mathcal{G}.$$

In particular $C_1(f, \infty) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.1 (i) we see that f has a critical point u with $C_1(f, u) \neq 0$. That is, u is a mountain pass type critical point of f . Since f is of class C^2 , a standard argument as in [4, 6] shows that

$$C_k(f, u) \cong \delta_{k, 1} \mathcal{G}.$$

Comparing this with (3.2) we see that $u \neq \mathbf{0}$.

If $\mathbf{0}$ and u are the only critical points of f , then the Morse inequality (2.2) with $t = -1$ gives

$$(-1)^m + (-1)^1 = (-1)^1.$$

This is impossible. Therefore f has at least one more critical point. \square

Remark 3.2. The reason that we have to restrict on the case $\ell \leq 2$ is that, for $h > 1$ we don't know whether $C_h(f, u) \neq 0$ implies $C_k(f, u) \cong \delta_{k,h}\mathcal{G}$, so we can not compute $C_k(f, u)$ explicitly.

Assuming the limit (1.5), with different assumptions on the nonlinearity similar results have been obtained by Robinson [17] via topological degree and by Su-Zhao [19] using Morse theory. Our Theorem 3.1 does not require that the above limit exists, therefore it can be applied to more general case. For example, choose $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}\right)$. Let $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $p'(0) > \lambda_2$ is not an eigenvalue and

$$p(t) = \lambda t + (\lambda - \lambda_1)t \sin \ln |t|$$

for $|t|$ large, then the limit (1.5) does not exist. But it is easy to verify that Theorem 3.1 (ii) can be applied and we still obtain two nontrivial solutions.

References

- [1] H. Amann, Saddle points and multiple solutions of differential equations, *Math. Z.* 169 (1979), 127–166.
- [2] T. Bartsch, S. J. Li, Critical point theory for asymptotically quadratic functionals and applications to problems with resonance, *Nonl. Anal., TMA*, 28 (1997), 419–441.
- [3] A. Castro, Reduction methods via minimax, *Differential equations*, pp. 1–20, Lecture Notes in Math., 957, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [4] K. C. Chang, Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problem, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [5] K. C. Chang, Critical groups, Morse theory and application to semilinear elliptic BVPs, *Chinese mathematics into the 21st century*, 41–65, Peking Univ. Press, Beijing, 1991.
- [6] K. C. Chang, S. J. Li and J. Q. Liu, Remarks on multiple solutions for asymptotically linear elliptic boundary value problems, *Topological Methods in Nonl. Anal.*, 3 (1994), 179–187.
- [7] A. Dold, Lectures on algebraic topology, (2nd edition), Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [8] E. Landesman, A. Lazer, Nonlinear perturbations of linear eigenvalues problem at resonance, *J. Math. Mech.*, 19 (1970) 609–623.
- [9] S. J. Li, J. Q. Liu, Computations of critical groups at degenerate critical point and applications to nonlinear differential equations with resonance, *Houston J. Math.*, 25 (1999), 563–582.
- [10] S. J. Li, K. Perera, J. B. Su, Computation of critical groups in elliptic boundary-value problems where the asymptotic limits may not exist, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 131A (2001), 721–732.
- [11] S. J. Li, W. M. Zou, The computations of the critical groups with an application to elliptic resonant problems at a higher eigenvalue, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235 (1999), 237–259.
- [12] J. Q. Liu, A Morse index for a saddle point, *Syst. Sc. Math. Sc.*, 2 (1989), 32–39.
- [13] J. Q. Liu, J. B. Su, Remarks on multiple nontrivial solutions for quasilinear resonant problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 258 (2001), 209–222.
- [14] S. B. Liu, S. J. Li, Critical groups at infinity, saddle point reduction and elliptic resonant problems, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 5 (2003), 761–773.
- [15] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [16] K. Perera, M. Schechter, Solution of nonlinear equations having asymptotic limits at zero and infinity, *Calc. Var.*, 12 (2001), 359–369.
- [17] S. Robinson, Multiple solutions for semilinear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 1995 (01) (1995) 1–14.
- [18] J. B. Su, Semilinear elliptic resonant problems at higher eigenvalue with unbounded nonlinear terms, *Acta Math. Sinica, (New Ser.)*, 14 (1998), 411–418.
- [19] J. B. Su, L. G. Zhao, An elliptic resonance problem with multiple solutions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 319 (2006), 604–616.